From: Rev. Fr. Vasile Susan

5413 N. Nottingham Ave, Chicago, IL 60656

Phone: 773-220-9503; E-mail:vasilesusan@hotmail.com

To: The OCA Metropolitan, H. B. Tikhon Mollard

6850 N. Hempstead Turnpike, Syosset, NY, 11791, Phone: 516-922-0550;

Date: January 15, 2014, commemorating day of: Sts. Paul of Thebes and John Kalabites.

Subject: 1- "REV. FR. VASILE SUSAN'S 4th FORMAL REQUEST FOR THE OCA'S CHURCH

COURT PROCEEDINGS WITHOUT DELAY, AS PER OCA STATUTE ART. XI."

2- "ADHERENCE TO THE OCA STATUTE AS RULE OF LAW & RESPECT OF REV. FR VASILE SUSAN'S RIGHTS (AS ACCUSED) AS SHOWN BY OCA STATUTE ART. XI. BY THE OCA METROPOLITAN, H. B. TIKHON MOLLARD (AS ACCUSER)."

Motto:

But I told them that we Romans are not in the habit of handing over any who are accused of a crime before they have met their accusers face-to-face and have had the chance of defending themselves against the accusation. Acts 25:16.

Master Bless!

Your Beatitude,

I am greeting you today with the words of St. Paul the Apostle as stated above.

Also, I would like to remind you, that you are well aware of the following materials. documents:

- 1 Letter dated May 14, 2013, sign by you and sent to my attention;
- 2 Letter dated June 7, 2013, sign by my legal counsel and sent to your attention:
- 3 Letter dated June 13, 2013, sign by your legal counsel and sent to my legal counsel:
- 4 Reflection dated June 20, 2013, of the OCA Chancellor J. Jillions entitled "Confronting your accuser".
- 5 E-mail, letter dated June 21, 2013, sent by your legal counsel to my legal counsel:
- 6 Letter dated July 12, 2013, sign by my legal counsel and sent to your legal counsel;
- 7 Email, letter dated July 21, 2013, sent by my legal counsel to your legal counsel;
- 8 Letters dated Dec. 18, 2013, Jan. 01, 2014, signed by me and sent to your attention;

All materials listed above from 1-7 will be made public in consent to my legal counsel directives only.

Dear Vladyka,

In the light of the abovementioned materials, documents, *I, hereby am formally requesting for OCA*Church Court proceedings without delay for the 4th time, as per OCA Statute, ART. XI. On June 7, 2013, I asked for Church Court proceedings for the 1st time. You are still ignoring my request. I have the OCA Statute's right to face my accuser (s) be treated fairly and have the full right to know the charges, evidences and to defend themselves vigorously. I am requesting you to observe and follow the rule of law.

Against the Orthodox Church Canons, OCA Statute and OCA internal rules, you falsely accused me and as a delinquent / violator made yourself subject of the Church Court proceedings since May 14, 2013. By your abusive power & conduct, you acted contrary to the Church Canons, OCA Statute & OCA internal rules.

I vehemently denied all your accusations as presented in your letter dated May 15, 2013. <u>I am asking you to put me in OCA Church Court (Episcopal trial)</u> as per the provisions of the Orthodox Church Canons, OCA <u>Statute & OCA internal rules ASAP</u>. You actions are punitive, retributive, unlawful, and without canonical grounds. Your delays & schemes are unacceptable, outrageous, reckless, immoral and reprehensible. <u>Your letter dated May 14, 2013 & your 'conduct' put you as OCA Metropolitan in the spotlight</u>. <u>Free me from 'the OCA Gulag as a prisoner of conscience' after 10 years of inhumane incarceration, for God's sake!</u>

Dear Vladyka,

You have started this dispute. I will do my best (not alone) to help you end it. You've written your letter dated May 14, 2013 in good and healthy terms (*See # 1*). You're not acting in good faith for the last 10 years as an OCA Bishop. The OCA documents / records from oca.org, ocanews.org, pokrov.org, monomakhos.com, can prove the OCA Synod of bishops' abuses: homosexual clergymen cover ups, cabal & financial schemes, and also the ousting of previous 2 OCA Metropolitans. (See Santa Fe 02/22/2011).

Please let us face each other in the OCA Church Court if you have the courage to prove you are honest and are staying behind your accusations. Why I was expelled & run off from the ROEA & miserably treated for 10 years by the OCA Synod as notorious criminal (?) without due process of law? As Christians we cannot ignore Acts 25:16. You can't bury 'the rule of law' forever. I favor the 'rule of law.' You do not.

In your letter (See # 1) you invoked Church Canons, OCA Statute & OCA internal rules as grounds of your actions. You're obviously against the implementation of the "rule of law" of your organization. You are a violator of the OCA Statute ART XI for more than 225 days. In front of God and in front of law we are equal. You are not above the law. On "the Day of Retribution" your morale, character, integrity, cover ups, maneuvers, schemes, gay agenda, cabal, etc. as OCA Metropolitan will be justly regarded by Christ the Lord as Supreme Judge. The Church Canons provisions are mandatory for priests and bishops alike.

Even though you accused me falsely, you still refuse my day in the OCA Church Court. *Are you seeing your shortcomings?* You're afraid to give me unimpeded access to all evidences, to face me as accused as per your false charges. You don't like to be confronted openly and fairly by one of your subordinates. I'm following "all the rule of law" better than you, since I became an ROEA priest, in 1989.

Dear Vladyka,

I and you have the right to the OCA Church Court proceedings. You refused such a right for me since June 7, 2013. You, as "CEO of OCA's Corporation," are against the Church Canons, OCA Statute & OCA internal rules. According to "the records within the OCA offices" at this time (see # 1 & 8) the 1st & 2nd attempt at an adjustment of this controversy by the bishop has miscarried by you as an OCA Bishop.

Be mindful: you have no right to violate my rights of "due canonical procedure and due process of law" as prescribed by the Church Canons, the OCA Statute & OCA internal rules. You put me in the position (as accused) to face you (as accuser) under the provisions of OCA Statute ART XI, and not vice versa. You still refuse to do that. For how long "this game of cat and mouse is going on" unwisely and irresponsibly?

I and you are entitled to "due canonical procedures and due process of law." One day you will be "the subject" of "due process of law". The OCA's Bishops outrageous and unlawful conduct made some of the OCA / ROEA bishops, clergy and laity, the subject of lawsuits, because they placed themselves above the law, and are against the Church Canons, OCA Statute and OCA internal rules. Please reflect on your letter's content & divorced from "the ostrich tactics / schemes" of hiding truths & lies in Syosset offices.

It is my right to be subject of "due canonical procedures and due process of law." It is your right to be subject of "due canonical procedures and due process of law." I asked Your Beatitude for the observance of the Church Canons, OCA Statute ART XI & OCA internal rules provisions for many times. You ignored me. Everything is documented. At the fullness of time (as per the rule of law) you'll make "mea culpa."

Why you're refusing to give me the right of being subject to "the rule of law?" Please observe Acts 25:16, and do not put your tenure as OCA Primate in jeopardy by 'your code of conduct.' Be what "you must be."

You have no right "to kill the due canonical procedure and due process of law" just because you are the OCA Metropolitan and I am your subordinate. The OCA Statute ART XI, Sect. 1, is clear for both of us, at this time and all the time. Why you're against the above 'Motto's principles' from Acts 25:16?

Dear Vladyka,

It is very disappointing that "No OCA Bishop" is willing to be in line with 1 Corinthians 6:5, or Acts 25:16, to give you advice, & to prove as a wise man his capability to settle your irresponsible, unwise, unchristian, immoral, inhumane, outrageous & reprehensible messy dispute you started on May 14, 2013.

Why the OCA Synod did outlaw me for 10 years as a persona non grata? Just to dry out? Evil decision! Why the OCA Synod did outlaw +Jonah who on Tuesday Feb. 22, 2011 (in Santa Fe) openly spoke against the OCA gay and cabal "the gay agenda", which demands one type of moral code for clergy, another for the laity accepting that agenda? I will not stand for this." How about the Rev. Fr. B. case? Did the OCA Synod of Bishops get rid of the OCA gay agenda and cannibalism? How they can prove it? Are the OCA Bishops truly God's believers and do they fulfill "the rule of law"? God is not blind!

Dear Vladyka,

Please keep in mind that the OCA Synod, kept me 10 years in the OCA's Gulag "to dry out", by refusing 'due process of law' as you know. Is 10 years not enough? I wish to be out of the OCA Gulag ASAP, after facing you in the OCA Church Court trial, only. I didn't come to the USA "to dry out within the OCA Gulag" (because of the will of an OCA hierarch), but to minister within the Romanian settings. Please, clear my name, restore my reputation and ministry following "the rule of law" only (See # 2, 6, 7,).

The OCA Synod treated me much worse & miserably than any Romanian Communist or Russian Stalinist or Bolshevik dictator. The OCA Synod decimated, mocked, tarnished & ruined my best years of Orthodox Priest ministry (*from age 52 – 62*), my good name, reputation, mercilessly, inhumanly, and openly, because I was speaking up against the OCA Bishops' cover ups of the OCA / ROEA homosexual clergyman. The OCA Synod outrageous conduct (breach of OCA Statute and internal rules) pushed me to civil lawsuit.

Obviously and unfortunately at the present time Your Beatitude as OCA Metropolitan are walking on the footsteps of the previous OCA Metropolitans by ignoring "the observance of the rule of law & due process of law." You're against the rule of law, not me. Why you accused me falsely? *Quo vadis?* (See # 4).

Finally, I am formally, respectfully and faithfully once again asking you for the following: Please honestly, wisely, morally, humanly and responsibly 'respond positively' to Rev. Fr. Vasile Susan's this 4th formal request and 'institute' the OCA Church Court (Episcopal trial) as per the provisions of the Orthodox Church Canons, OCA Statute & OCA internal rules ASAP (see # 1, 2 & 4).

Please honestly, wisely, morally, humanly and responsibly 'implement the adherence' to the OCA Statute as rule of law and 'respect' Rev. Fr. Vasile Susan's rights (as accused). You, the OCA Metropolitan H. B. T. Mollard (as accuser) must follow the OCA Statute ART. XI, provisions. Don't dry me out anymore!

Please consider this letter as "4th olive branch" you got from me. In the light of "1 Corinthians 6: 2 & 5" ... should Your Beatitude wish to discuss "how to settle this dispute" ... please, contact my legal counsel.

Your actions have caused incomprehensible, immeasurable, inexpressible, inconceivable, and visible damages: to me, my priesthood, my family members and relatives. I am asking you to direct your response to this letter as part of your future communication to my legal counsel, whose address is in your office, no later than January 31, 2014. I would like to come to the conclusion of my 10 years of OCA Gulag ASAP.

Yours in Christ the Lord,

v. Fr. Havile Luson

Rev Fr Vasile Susan

c.c. my legal counsel, OCA Bishops, & (OCA MC members & Internet posting after January 15, 2014).