James W. Stuehringer, Esq. SB #004122 JStuehringer@wechv.com

1 2

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

LAW OFFICES
WATERFALL, ECONOMIDIS, CALDWELL,
HANSHAW & VILLAMANA, P.C.

Williams Center, Eighth Floor 5210 E. Williams Circle Tucson, AZ 85711 (520)790-5828

Attorneys for Movant Anthony Moschonas

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

In re The Matter of FBI Documents, Reports, Records, and Things Regarding the Investigation of Anthony Moschonas No.

MOTION FOR PRIVACY ACT ORDER

AND

MOTION FOR PRIVACY ACT PROTECTIVE ORDER

Movant Anthony Moschonas ("Movant"), by and through his undersigned counsel, hereby moves this Court for a Privacy Act Order and Privacy Act Protective Order to permit the release of government information which contains material protected from disclosure under the Privacy Act and which also contains law enforcement sensitive information. In support of these motions, Movant states:

#### Parties to the State Court Action

1. Movant is a resident of Tucson, Arizona, and a named Defendant in a state court civil action filed in the Pima County Superior Court styled *Jane Doe v. St. Demetrios Greek Orthodox Church, et al.*, Pima County Superior Court Cause No. C2008-1702 (hereinafter "civil action").

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

- 2. Though Plaintiff in the civil action is nominally captioned Jane Doe, her true identity is known to the parties in the civil action as well as to the FBI and government counsel.
- 3. Other named Defendants in the civil action are St. Demetrios Greek Orthodox Church, Inc.; and the Greek Orthodox Archdiocese of America, Metropolis of San Francisco.
- 4. All parties to the civil action are represented by counsel of record who are copied on this motion as is counsel for the government.

### Factual Background

- 5. On August 21, 2007, FBI agents served a search warrant on Movant's residence in Tucson, Arizona. Pursuant to the search warrant, FBI agents seized 17 items from Movant's residence.
- 6. In addition to the search and seizure noted above, the FBI conducted a criminal investigation into allegations made against Movant by Jane Doe. Those allegations suggested that Movant, a Greek orthodox priest for more than 30 years, had sexually assaulted Jane Doe while the two of them were in Athens, Greece.
- 7. The FBI's investigation included interviewing as possible witnesses the following individuals: Movant, Maria Moschonas, Jane Doe, members of Jane Doe's family, and other material witnesses. The FBI's investigation also included the tape recording of conversations between Jane Doe and Movant and the obtaining of witness interviews conducted by law enforcement authorities in Greece.
- 8. On or about February 28, 2008, the United States Attorney's Office in Tucson, Arizona, informed Movant's counsel that the government had declined criminal prosecution of Movant. Two weeks later, on March 13, 2008, Jane Doe

Tucson, AZ 85711 (520)790-5828 filed the civil action.

- 9. In order to obtain documents, reports, records and things made part of the FBI's investigation and relevant to the civil action, Movant's counsel made a Privacy Act request to the FBI pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 16.21, *et seq.* That request was made on June 18, 2008.
- 10. The specifics of that Privacy Act request have been disclosed and reviewed with government counsel who have no objection to the entry of the attached proposed Privacy Act Order with the proviso that the attached proposed Privacy Act Protective Order also be entered.
- 11. Movant's counsel has caused to be issued a subpoena duces tecum in the civil action scheduling a custodian of records deposition of Stephannie Jacobs, Esq., Chief Division Counsel for the FBI Phoenix Division, for September 26, 2008. The purpose of this deposition is to obtain from the FBI specific documents, reports, records and things gathered by it and maintained as part of its now closed criminal investigation regarding Movant. Attached as Exhibit A is the subpoena duces tecum and notice for custodian of records deposition in the civil action.

#### Jurisdiction

12. This Court has jurisdiction to enter the requested Privacy Act Order and Privacy Act Protective Order pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B); 5 U.S.C. § 552a(b)(11); and *Fed. R. Civ. P.* 26(c).

## Specific I tems Requested Under the Privacy Act Order

13. The fact-based evidentiary and/or investigative FBI affidavit or affidavits in support of the search warrant of Movant's residence on August 21,

2007. This disclosure is needed as these affidavits will contain information provided to the FBI by Jane Doe upon which the search warrant was based and which stand to be relevant to the civil action in order to refresh recollection or for impeachment purposes.

- 14. All fact-based evidentiary and/or investigative FBI reports summarizing an interview conducted by the FBI of Movant. This disclosure is needed as such a witness interview summary stands to be relevant to the civil action in order to refresh recollection or for impeachment purposes.
- 15. All fact-based evidentiary and/or investigative FBI reports summarizing an interview conducted by the FBI of Maria Moschonas. This disclosure is needed as such a witness interview summary stands to be relevant to the civil action in order to refresh recollection or for impeachment purposes.
- 16. All fact-based evidentiary and/or investigative FBI reports summarizing interviews conducted by the FBI of Jane Doe. This disclosure is needed as such witness interview summaries stand to be relevant to the civil action in order to refresh recollection or for impeachment purposes.
- 17. All fact-based evidentiary and/or investigative FBI reports summarizing interviews conducted by the FBI of Jane Doe's family members. This disclosure is needed as such witness interview summaries stand to be relevant to the civil action in order to refresh recollection or for impeachment purposes.
- 18. All fact-based evidentiary and/or investigative FBI reports summarizing interviews conducted by the FBI of any material witness. This disclosure is needed as such witness interview summaries stand to be relevant to the civil action in order to refresh recollection or for impeachment purposes.
  - The tape recordings of any conversations between Jane Doe (or any

member of her family) and Movant. This disclosure is needed as these materials stand to be relevant to the civil action in order to refresh recollection or for impeachment purposes.

- 20. All fact-based evidentiary and/or investigative FBI reports, documents or writings reflecting forensic analysis of the items seized by the FBI from Movant's residence during the search conducted on August 21, 2007. This disclosure is needed as forensic analysis reports, documents or writings stand to be relevant to the civil action.
- 21. All photographs or surveillance videos taken by the FBI involving Movant and Jane Doe (or any member of her family). This disclosure is needed as these materials stand to be relevant to the civil action in order to refresh recollection or for impeachment purposes.
- 22. All fact-based evidentiary and/or investigative reports summarizing witness interviews conducted by law enforcement authorities in Greece and in the possession of the FBI. This disclosure is needed as such witness interview summaries stand to be relevant to the civil action in order to refresh recollection or for impeachment purposes.
- 23. All phone records of Jane Doe and Movant obtained by the FBI as part of its investigation. This disclosure is needed as such phone records stand to be relevant to the civil action in order to refresh recollection or for impeachment purposes.
- 24. The 17 items seized by the FBI in its August 21, 2007, search of Movant's residence. This disclosure is needed as these materials stand to be relevant to the civil action.

25 | \* \* \*

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### CONCLUSION

Prompt entry of a Privacy Act Order and Privacy Act Protective Order are necessary for the conduct of discovery and eventual trial in the civil action. Movant has attached hereto as Exhibit B a proposed Privacy Act Order and Privacy Act Protective Order and has also attached as Exhibit C an Acknowledgment of Privacy Act Protective Order for the Court's consideration and adoption.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 8th day of September, 2008.

WATERFALL, ECONOMIDIS, CALDWELL, HANSHAW & VILLAMANA, P.C.

By /s/ James W. Stuehringer
James W. Stuehringer
Attorneys for Movant Moschonas

Original of the foregoing filed through NEF this 8th day of September, 2008, with:

U.S. District Court of Arizona 405 West Congress Tucson, AZ 85701

Copy of the foregoing hand delivered this 8th day of September, 2008, to:

Janet K. Martin, Esq. Office of United States Attorney 405 W. Congress, #4800 Tucson, AZ 85701

A copy of the foregoing mailed this 8th day of September, 2008, to:

Stephannie Jacobs, Esq. Chief Division Counsel Federal Bureau of Investigation 201 E. Indianola Ave. Phoenix, AZ 85012

1 2 3	Jason R. Cammack, Esq. Acting Associate Division Counsel Federal Bureau of Investigation 201 E. Indianola Ave. Phoenix, AZ 85012
4	Joel T. Ireland, Esq. Christopher N. Kalabus, Esq. Goldberg & Osborne 2323 N Campbell Ave. Tucson, AZ 85719 Attorneys for Plaintiff (Civil Action)
5	
6	
7	Scott A. Salmon, Esq. The Cavanagh Law Firm 1850 N. Central Ave., Ste. 2400 Phoenix, AZ 85004-4527 Attorneys for Defendant St. Demetrios Greek Orthodox Church, Inc. (Civil Action)  James G. Speer, Esq. Gust Rosenfeld, P.L.C. 201 E. Washington, Suite 800 Phoenix, AZ 85004-2327 Attorneys for Defendant Greek Orthodox Archdiocese of America, Metropolis of San Francisco (Civil Action)  Elaine N. Chou, Esq. Philip C. Semprevivo, Esq. Biederman, Reif, Hoenig & Ruff, P.C. 570 Lexington Ave. New York, NY 10022 Attorneys for Defendant Greek Orthodox Archdiocese of America, Metropolis of San Francisco (Civil Action)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14 15	
16	
17	
18	
19	_/s/ Eileen Smith
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	